arXiv:1403.0209v1 [math.GT] 2 Mar 2014

REALIZING EXTERIOR CROMWELL MOVES ON RECTANGULAR DIAGRAMS BY REIDEMEISTER MOVES

TATSUO ANDO, CHUICHIRO HAYASHI AND YUKI NISHIKAWA

Abstract. If a rectangular diagram represents the trivial knot, then it can be deformed into the trivial rectangular diagram with only four edges by a finite sequence of merge operations and exchange operations, without increasing the number of edges, which was shown by I. A. Dynnikov in [4] and [5]. Using this, Henrich and Kauffman gave in [7] an upper bound for the number of Reidemeister moves needed for unknotting a knot diagram of the trivial knot. However, exchange or merge moves on the top and bottom pairs of edges of rectangular diagrams are not considered in the proof of [7]. In this paper, we show that there is a rectangular diagram of the trivial knot which needs such an exchange move for being unknotted, and study upper bound of the number of Reidemeister moves needed for realizing such an exchange or merge move.

1. Introduction

Birman and Menasco introduced arc-presentation of links in [2], and Cromwell formulated it in [3]. Dynnikov pointed out in [4] and [5] that Cromwell's argument in [3] almost shows that any arc-presentation of a split link can be deformed into one which is "visibly split" by a finite sequence of exchange moves. He also showed that any arc-presentation of the trivial knot can be deformed into trivial one with only two arcs by a finite sequence of merge moves and exchange moves, without using divide moves which increase the number of arcs. As is shown in page 41 in [3], an arc-presentation is almost equivalent to a rectangular diagram.

A *rectangular diagram* of a link is a link diagram in the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$ which is composed of vertical lines and horizontal lines such that no pair of vertical lines are colinear, no pair of horizontal lines are colinear, and the vertical line passes over the horizontal line at each crossing. See Figure 1. These vertical lines and horizontal lines are called *edges* of the rectangular diagram. Every rectangular diagram has the same number of vertical edges and horizontal edges. It is known that every link has a rectangular diagram (Proposition in page 42 in [3]).

Cromwell moves, which are described in the next three paragraphs, are elementary moves for rectangular diagrams of links. They do not change type of links. Moreover, Theorem

Date: March 4, 2014.

Key words and phrases. rectangular diagram, arc presentation, merge move, exchange move, Reidemeister move .

The second author is partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 25400100.



FIGURE 1. A rectangular diagram of the trivial knot with 8 vertical edges



FIGURE 2. Interior horizontal merges

in page 45 in [3] and Proposition 4 in [4] state that, if two rectangular diagrams represent the same link, then one is obtained from the other by a finite sequence of these elementary moves and rotation moves, which is also introduced below.

First, we recall merge moves. If two horizontal (resp. vertical) edges connected by a single vertical (resp. horizontal) edge have no other horizontal (resp. vertical) edges between their ordinates (resp. abscissae), then we can amalgamate the three edges into a single horizontal (resp. vertical) edge. This move is called an *interior horizontal (resp. vertical) merge*. See Figure 2 for examples of interior horizontal merge moves. If the top and bottom (resp. the leftmost and rightmost) horizontal (resp. vertical) edges are connected by a single vertical (resp. horizontal) edge, then we can amalgamate the three edges into a single horizontal (resp. vertical) edge. We may place the new horizontal (resp. vertical) edge either at the top height or at the bottom height (resp. either in the leftmost position or in the rightmost



FIGURE 3. Exterior horizontal merges

position). See Figure 3. We call this move an *exterior horizontal (resp. vertical) merge*. (Even when we consider rectangular link diagrams in the 2-sphere ($\cong \mathbb{R}^2 \cup \{\infty\}$), exterior merge moves are distinct from interior merge moves as moves on general link diagrams.) Note that a merge move decreases the number of vertical edges and that of horizontal edges by one. The inverse moves of merge moves are called *divide moves*.



FIGURE 4. Interleaved pair and non-interleaved pairs

To describe exchange moves, we need a terminology. Two vertical edges are said to be *interleaved*, if the heights of their endpoints alternate. See Figure 4. Similarly, we define interleaved two horizontal edges.

If two horizontal edges at mutually adjacent heights are not interleaved, then we can exchange their heights. See Figure 5. This move is called an *interior horizontal exchange*. If the top horizontal edge and the bottom one are not interleaved, then we can exchange their heights. We call this move an *exterior horizontal exchange*. See Figure 6, which depicts an exterior horizontal exchange move on the rectangular diagram in Figure 1. (Even when we consider rectangular link diagrams in the 2-sphere ($\cong \mathbb{R}^2 \cup \{\infty\}$), exterior exchange moves are distinct from interior exchange moves as moves on general link diagrams.) Similarly, we define *vertical exchange* moves.



FIGURE 5. Interior horizontal exchange moves



FIGURE 6. An exterior horizontal exchange move

The next result of Dynnikov gives a finite algorithm to decide whether a given rectangular diagram represents the trivial knot or not. The original statement is in languages on arc-presentations.

Theorem 1.1. [Dynnikov [4], [5]] *Any rectangular diagram of the trivial knot can be deformed into trivial one with only two vertical edges and two horizontal edges by a finite sequence of merge moves and exchange moves.*

Note that the sequence in the above theorem contains no divide moves. Hence the sequence gives a monotone simplification, that is, no move in the sequence increases the number of edges. There are only finitely many rectangle diagrams with a fixed number of edges. Thus the above theorem gives a finite algorithm for the decision problem.

A Reidemeister move is a local move of a link diagram as in Figure 7. An RI (resp. II) move creates or deletes a monogon face (resp. a bigon face). An RIII move is performed on a 3-gon face, deleting it and creating a new one. Any such move does not change the link type. As Alexander and Briggs [1] and Reidemeister [8] showed, for any pair of diagrams D_1, D_2 which represent the same link type, there is a finite sequence of Reidemeister moves which deforms D_1 to D_2.



FIGURE 7.

In [7], A. Henrich and L. Kauffman announced an upper bound of the number of Reidemeister moves needed for unknotting by applying Dynnikov's theorem to rectangular diagrams. Lemma 7 in [7] states that no more than $n-2$ Reidemeister moves are required to perform an exchange move on a rectangular diagram with n vertical edges. However, the proof of Lemma 7 in [7] does not consider the exterior exchange moves.

In this paper, we show the next two theorems.

Theorem 1.2. *There is a rectangular diagram of the trivial knot which needs an exterior exchange move for being deformed into the trivial rectangular diagram with two vertical edges and two horizontal edges by a sequence of exchange moves and merge moves.*

In fact, Figure 1 is one of such a rectangular diagram with the smallest number of edges. Theorem 1.2 is shown in section 2.

Theorem 1.3. *Let n be an integer with $n \geq 2$, and ϵ the integer with $\epsilon \in \{0,1\}$ and $n \equiv \epsilon$ (mod 2). If a rectangular diagram D with n vertical edges admits an exterior exchange move (resp. an exterior merge move), then a sequence of $3n^2-4n-4-3\epsilon$ (resp. $(3n^2-4n-4-3\epsilon)/2$) or less number of Reidemeister moves either (1) deforms D into a knot diagram with no crossings, (2) deforms D into a disconnected link diagram, or (3) realizes the exterior exchange move (resp. the exterior merge move).*

In addition, a sequence of $(3n^2-4n-2-3\epsilon)/2$ or less number of Reidemeister moves either does (1) or (2) as above, or (3)′ realizes arbitrary one of the two rotation moves.



FIGURE 8. Rectangular diagrams with the maximal number of crossings



FIGURE 9. Rectangular diagrams with the maximal number of crossings

This theorem is proved in section 4. In the proof of the above theorem, we use two propositions below. We say that a horizontal (resp. vertical) edge is of *length* $|j-i|$ if it connects the i th and the j th vertical (resp. horizontal) edges from the left (resp. the bottom).

Proposition 1.4. *Let n be an integer larger than 1. Let R be a rectangular diagram of a link with n vertical edges. Then R has at most $(n^2-2n-1)/2$ crossings when n is odd, and at most $(n^2-2n)/2$ crossings when n is even. The sum of lengths of the edges of R is at most n^2-1 when n is odd, and at most n^2 when n is even.*

This estimation is keen. The rectangular diagrams with even number of vertical edges in Figure 8 and those with odd number of vertical edges in Figure 9 give concrete examples which realize the maximal numbers. This proposition is shown in section 3.



FIGURE 10. Jump moves

As will be shown in section 4, an exterior exchange (resp. merge) move can be realized by a sequence of two jump moves (resp. by a single jump move). We recall the definition of a jump move. Let D be a link diagram on the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let s be an *overstrand* of D, that is, s is a subarc of D such that s does not go under any crossing of D and the endpoints ∂s is free from the crossings of D. Let u be an arc with $u \cap s = \partial u = \partial s$ such that u is

transverse to D. A *jump move* bringing s to u is an operation on D which deletes s and then adds u as an overstrand. Note that the resulting link diagram D' represents the same link as D. See Figure 10, where the two jump moves are described, and they realize the exterior merge moves in Figure 3. We define a jump move for an understrand similarly.

Proposition 1.5. *Let D be a link diagram on the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$. Suppose that D admits a jump move which brings an overstrand s of D to another arc u. The circle $s \cup u$ bounds a disk, say Q, in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let $\bar{D}$ be the underlying planar graph of D which is obtained by deleting over-under information of crossings of D. Set $D_Q = \mathrm{cl}\,(\bar{D} \cap \mathrm{int}\, Q)$, where* cl *and* int *denote the closure and the interior respectively. We regard the points $D_Q \cap \partial Q$ as vertices, where ∂Q denotes the boundary circle of Q. Then D_Q forms a graph. Let V be the number of vertices of D_Q in* $\mathrm{int}\, Q$*, and E the number of edges of D_Q. Then a sequence of $V + E$ or less number of Reidemeister moves (1) deforms D into a knot diagram with no crossings, (2) deforms D into a disconnected link diagram, or (3) realizes the jump move.*

Note that the edges in $\bar{D} \cap s$ are not contained in D_Q. A similar thing holds for a jump move for an understrand. The above proposition is a correction of Remark 2 in [6], and a stronger proposition is proved in section 5.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we show Theorem 1.2. The sequence as in Dynnikov's theorem (Theorem 1.1) sometimes needs to contain exterior exchange moves. In fact, the rectangle diagram shown in Figure 1 represents the trivial knot. It admits no merge moves since it does not have an edge of length 1 or $8 - 1$. We cannot apply any interior horizontal exchange move to the diagram because every pair of horizontal edges in adjacent levels are interleaved. Similarly, no interior vertical exchange move can be performed on this diagram. Hence every sequence as in Dynnikov's theorem on this diagram must begin with the exterior exchange move.

A similar argument shows that the rectangle diagram of the trviail knot shown in Figure 11 admits no merge moves, no vertical exchange moves and no interior horizontal exchange moves. It only admits the exterior horizontal exchange move.

It can easily be confirmed by a computer that every rectangular diagram of the trivial knot with 7 or less number of vertical edges admits a merge move or an interior exchange move, and that every rectangular diagram of the trivial knot with 8 vertical edges admits both the exterior vertical exchange move and the extrior horizontal exchange move if it admits no merge moves and no interior exchange moves.



FIGURE 11. A rectangular diagram of the trivial knot with 9 vertical edges

3. Proof of Proposition 1.4

In this section, we prove Proposition 1.4. Let D be a rectangular diagram of a knot or a link. We place D in the x-y plane so that the i th vertical line from the left is in the line $x = i$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ and so that the j th horizontal line from the bottom in the line $y = j$ for $j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. The *length* of a vertical (horizontal) edge e is the difference of the ordinates (resp. abscissae) of the endpoints of e. Let $\ell(e)$ denote it. Then e has at most $\ell(e) - 1$ crossing points on it. We consider the sum Σ of the length of all the horizontal edges of D. Let e_i be the i th horizontal edge from the bottom, and r_i and l_i the abscissae of the right and left endpoints respectively. Then we have $\ell(e_i) = r_i - l_i$ and $\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_i - l_i)$. We consider the multi-set $E = \{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n, l_1, l_2, \cdots, l_n\}$, where a multi-set may contain the same element multiple times. Then E contains each of the natural numbers $1, 2, \cdots, n$ twice.

In the case where n is even, Σ is the largest when $\{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n\} = \{n, n, n-1, n-1, \cdots, (n/2)+1, (n/2)+1\}$ and $\{l_1, l_2, \cdots, l_n\} = \{1, 1, 2, 2, \cdots, n/2, n/2\}$ as multi-sets. Hence Σ is at most
$2 \times n(n+1)/2 - 4 \times (n/2)((n/2)+1)/2 = n(n+1) - n((n/2)+1) = n^2/2$.
Thus the number of crossing of D is at most $\Sigma - n \leq n(n-2)/2$ when n is even. This maximal number is realized by the rectangular diagrams in Figure 8.

In the case where n is odd, Σ is the largest when $\{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n\} = \{n, n, n-1, n-1, \cdots, (n+3)/2, (n+3)/2, (n+1)/2\}$ and $\{l_1, l_2, \cdots, l_n\} = \{1, 1, 2, 2, \cdots, (n-1)/2, (n-1)/2, (n+1)/2\}$ as multi-sets. Hence Σ is at most
$2 \times n(n+1)/2 - 2 \times ((n+1)/2)(((n+1)/2)+1)/2 - 2 \times ((n-1)/2)(((n-1)/2)+1)/2$
$= n(n+1) - (n+1)(n+3)/4 - (n-1)(n+1)/4 = n(n+1) - (n+1)(2n+2)/4$
$= (n+1)(2n-(n+1))/2 = (n+1)(n-1)/2$.
Thus the number of crossing of D is at most $\Sigma - n \leq (n^2 - 2n - 1)/2$ when n is odd. This maximal number is realized by the rectangular diagrams in Figure 9.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we show Theorem 1.3 using Proposition 1.5. The proof of Proposition 1.5 is given in the next section.



Figure 12. Realizing an exterior exchange move by jump moves

We first consider an exterior exchange move on a rectangular diagram D. Without loss of generality, we assume that it is horizontal. It can be realized by a sequence of two jump moves as in Figure 12. (See section 1 for the definition of a jump move.) We can assume, without loss of generality, that the top edge is not shorter than the bottom one. The first jump move brings the top edge to the bottom, and the second jump move brings the edge second to the bottom, which was the bottom one before the first jump move, to the top. For the ith jump move with $i = 1$ or 2, the original arc s_i of the rectangular diagram jumps to the arc u_i, and $s_i \cup u_i$ bounds a disk Q_i in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let D' be the rectangular diagram obtained from D by the first jump move. We define the graph D_{Q_1} and D'_{Q_2} as in Proposition 1.5. Let D_{Q_2} stand for D'_{Q_2} for simplicity of notation. Then $\operatorname{int} Q_i$ contains at most $\{n(n-2)-\epsilon\}/2$ crossings of the rectangular diagram by Proposition 1.4, where $\epsilon = 1$ when n is odd, and $\epsilon = 0$ when n is even. Each of the two vertical edges in ∂Q_i intersects at most $n-2$ horizontal edges, and such intersection points are endpoints of edges of D_{Q_i}. (Note that u_1 does not intersect the bottom edge because the top edge is not shorter than the bottom one.) Since four endpoints gather at every vertex of $\bar{D}_{Q_i}$ in $\operatorname{int} Q_i$, the disk Q_i contains at most $(4(\{n(n-2)-\epsilon\}/2) + 2(n-2))/2 = n^2 - n - 2 - \epsilon$ edges. Hence, by

Proposition 1.5, a sequence of at most $(\{n(n-2)-\epsilon\}/2)+(n^2-n-2-\epsilon)$ Reidemeister moves either deforms D or D' into a knot diagram with no crossings, deforms D or D' into a disconnected link diagram, or realizes the ith jump move. Thus a sequence of at most $2((\{n(n-2)-\epsilon\}/2)+(n^2-n-2-\epsilon)) = 3n^2-4n-4-3\epsilon$ Reidemeister moves either deforms D into a knot diagram with no crossings, deforms D into a disconnected link diagram, or realizes the exterior exchange move.

A rotation move can be realized by a single jump move as shown in the first jump move in Figure 12. In this case, the two vertical edges in ∂Q intersects at most $n-1$ horizontal edges, where Q is the rectangle bounded by the arcs before and after the jump. Hence $(3n^2-4n-2-3\epsilon)/2$ Reidemeister moves will do.



FIGURE 13. Realizing an exterior merge move by a jump move

An exterior merge move on a rectangular diagram D can be realized by a single jump move as in Figures 10 and 13. In each example in Figure 13, the top edge and the bottom edge are in the same side of the vertical edge connecting them. In Figure 10, they are in the opposite sides. A similar argument as above shows the theorem for exterior merge moves.

5. Proof of Proposition 1.5

In this section, we prove Proposition 1.5, which is used in the previous section. We show a little stronger proposition below.

Proposition 5.1. *Let D be a link diagram on the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$ which admits a jump move replacing an overstrand (resp. understrand) s with another overstrand (resp. understrand) u. Then, for an integer Σ defined below, a sequence of at most Σ Reidemeister moves either (1) deforms D into a disconnected link diagram, (2) deforms D into a knot diagram with no crossings, or (3) realizes the jump move.*



FIGURE 14. the pattern of E_{svs}

The circle $s \cup u$ bounds a disk Q in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let $\bar{D}$ be a graph obtained from the link diagram D by ignoring the over-under informations of crossings of D. The crossings of D become the vertices of $\bar{D}$. Set $D_Q = \mathrm{cl}(\bar{D} \cap \operatorname{int} Q)$. We regard the points $D_Q \cap (\partial Q)$ as vertices of the graph D_Q.

We set $\Sigma = V + E_i + E_{ss} + E_\partial + E_s + E_{svs}$, the sum of numbers defined as below. Let V be the number of vertices of D_Q in $\operatorname{int} Q$. Let E_i be the number of edges of D_Q which do not have an endpoint in the arc s, E_{ss} the number of edges of D_Q which have both endpoints in $\operatorname{int} s$, E_∂ the number of edges of D_Q which has a single endpoint in ∂s. For a vertex v of D_Q in $\operatorname{int} Q$, let E_{sv} denote the number of edges of D_Q which have an endpoint at v and the other one in $\operatorname{int} s$. Then, let E_s be the sum of $\max(0, E_{sv} - 2)$ over all vertices of D_Q in $\operatorname{int} Q$. Let E_{svs} be the number of connected components C of D_Q as below. C has a vertex, say v, with $E_{sv} = 2$ in $\operatorname{int} Q$. There are precisely two edges, say e and f, which connect v and vertices, say v_e and v_f, in $\operatorname{int} s$ respectively. Let t be the subarc of s with $\partial t = v_e \cup v_f$, and R the disk bounded by the circle $e \cup f \cup t$. The other edges incident to v than e and f are in R, and t contains no vertices of C other than v_e and v_f. (The arc t may contain vertices of $D_Q - C$.) See Figure 14.

Moreover, when $E_\partial = 0$, there is a sequence of at most $\Sigma' = 2V + E_i + E_{ss} + E_\partial + E_s + E_{svs}$ Reidemeister moves containing no RI moves which does (1), (2) or (3) above.

Note that edges with both endpoints in $\operatorname{int} u$ and that with one endpoint in $\operatorname{int} u$ and the other in $\operatorname{int} Q$ are counted in E_i.

This proposition is a correction of Lemma 4 in [6], where the term E_{svs} is not considered. The diagram in Figure 15 (a)-1 gives a counter example to Lemma 4 in [6], where $V + E_i + E_{ss} + E_\partial + E_s = 1 + 1 + 0 + 0 + 0 = 2$, and wee need at least three Reidemeister moves to realize the jump move. Moreover, the argument in the proof of Lemma 4 in [6] contains several overlooks. So, we give a precise proof of the above proposition here. Before that, we prove Proposition 1.5 using the above proposition.

Proof. We prove Proposition 1.5. It's enough to show that $E \geq E_i + E_{ss} + E_\partial + E_s + E_{svs}$. This can be easily seen because E_s is covered by the edges connecting a vertex in $\operatorname{int} s$ and another vertex v in $\operatorname{int} Q$ with $E_{sv} \geq 3$, E_{svs} is covered by the edges connecting a vertex

in $\operatorname{int} s$ and another vertex v in $\operatorname{int} Q$ with $E_{sv} = 2$, and the other terms E_i, E_{ss}, E_∂ are covered by the edges which do not connect a vertex in $\operatorname{int} s$ and that in $\operatorname{int} Q$. □



FIGURE 15. These moves take first priority.

Proof. We prove Proposition 5.1. When $\Sigma = 0$, we have $V = E_i = E_{ss} = E_\partial = E_s = E_{svs} = 0$, and hence all the edges of D_Q connect $\operatorname{int} s$ and $\operatorname{int} u$. This means that s and u are parallel, and the diagram obtained by the jump move is the same as the original one. Thus we need no Reidemeister moves, and the proposition follows in this case.

We consider the case where $\Sigma > 0$. We distinguish several cases, present a sequence of Reidemeister moves in each case, and show that the number of Reidemeister moves is less than or equal to the decrease in Σ. Then the proposition is proved by induction on Σ. We can assume that D is connected and has a crossing. Otherwise, we have conclusion (1) or (2).

First, when the graph D_Q has the pattern described in the left of Figure 15 (a)-1 or (b), we perform the sequence of Reidemeister moves shown in those figures. If there is no subgraph of D_Q in the pattern of Figure 15, then we perform a Reidemeister move shown in Figures 16 and 17. For every integer i with $1 \leq i \leq 6$, Move (i) in Figures 16 and 17 is applied when Moves (1) through (i-1) cannot be applied and Move (i) can. However, the move (4) must be applied to an adequate part of the link diagram, which will be described in detail later. In every move in these figures, s is moved keeping that it is an overstrand. So, over-under informations at the crossings are not specified in the figures.



FIGURE 16. Moves (1) through (4)

In the patterns described on the left side hand of Figure 15, there are two edges, say e and f, connecting a vertex v of D_Q in $\operatorname{int} Q$ and the arc s. Let R be the subdisk cut off from Q by the arc $e \cup f$. Then $D_Q \cap R$ consists of e and f and a single loop edge having its both endpoints at v. In Case (a), both e and f have an endpoint in $\operatorname{int} s$. Move (a)-1 in Figure 15 is due to Kanako Oshiro, and consists of three Reidemeister moves. This seqence

of Reidemeister moves decreases Σ by three (V by one, E_i by one and E_{svs} by one). When $E_\partial = 0$, the sequence (a)-2 of four Reidemeister moves does not contain an RI move, and decreases $2V + E_i + E_s + E_{ss} + E_\partial + E_{svs}$ by four. In Case (b), precisely one of e and f, say e, has an endpoint at ∂s. The sequence in Figure 15 (c) is composed of three Reidemeister moves, and decreases Σ by three (V by one, E_i by one and E_∂ by one).



FIGURE 17. Moves (5) and (6)

Suppose that D_Q does not contain a pattern as in Figure 15.

We consider first Move (1) in Figure 16. In this figure, an edge, say e, connecting one of the two points ∂s and a vertex in $\operatorname{int} s$ cuts off a subdisk, say R, from Q such that $D_Q \cap R = e$. The RI move along R decreases Σ by one since it decreases E_∂ by one.

In Figure 16 (2), an edge, say e, having both endpoints in $\operatorname{int} s$ cuts off a subdisk, say R, from Q such that $D_Q \cap R = e$. The RII move of Move (2) along R decreases Σ by one since it decreases E_{ss} by one.



FIGURE 18. Special cases of Move (3)

We consider Move (3) in Figure 16, where two edges, say e and f, have an endpoint at a vertex, say v, in $\operatorname{int} Q$ and reach $\operatorname{int} s$. The arc $e \cup f$ cuts off a subdisk, say R, from Q

with $D_Q \cap R = e \cup f$. In this case, we perform an RIII move along R. We will show that this decreases Σ by one or more. We must distinguish many cases. First, we consider the cases described in Figure 18 (I), (II)-1, (II)-2, (III). In Case (I), a loop edge has its both endpoints at v. Then the RIII move decreases Σ by one because it decreases both V and E_i by one and increases E_{ss} by one. In Case (II), two edges incident to v and other than e and f have the other endpoints at the same vertex. The RIII move decreases V by one and E_i by two. In Case (II)-1, this may increase E_s by one or two. In Case (II)-2, this increases E_{svs} by one. Hence, in both cases of (II)-1 and (II)-2, Σ decreases by one or more. In Case (III), all the edges incident to v reach $\operatorname{int} s$. The RIII move decreases Σ by one because it decreases V by one, E_s by two, and increases E_{ss} by two.



FIGURE 19. contribution of g and w to Σ

Suppose that the edges incident to v are not in the patterns in Figure 18. Then the two edges, say g and h, incident to v and other than e and f are distinct, and do not share the other endpoints, say w and x respectively. Moreover, at most one of w and x is in $\operatorname{int} s$. We consider arbitrary one of g and h, say g. Either w ($\in \partial g$) is (i) in $\operatorname{int} Q$, (ii) in $\operatorname{int} u$, (iii) in ∂s or (iv) in $\operatorname{int} s$. In each case, we observe the change of the contribution of g and w to Σ. Precisely, we examine E_i, E_{ss}, E_{sw} and E_{svs}. We first consider Case (i). The RIII move decreases the contribution of g to E_i by one. When $E_{sw} \geq 2$, the RIII move increases the contribution of w to E_{sw} and hence to E_s by one, as shown in Figure 19 (A). Hence the RIII move does not change the contribution of g and w to Σ. If $E_{sw} \leq 1$, then the RIII move does not increase the contribution of w to E_s. However, in case of $E_{sw} = 1$, it may increase the contribution of g and w to E_{svs} as in Figure 19 (B). Hence the RIII move does not change the contribution of g and w to Σ in Case (B) in Figure 19, and decreases it by one in the other cases. Next, we consider Case (ii). Before the RIII move, g contributes E_i

by one. Hence the contribution of g and w to Σ decreases by one after the RIII move. In Case (iii), the RIII move does not change the contributions of g and w to Σ. In Case (iv), the RIII move increases the contribution of g to E_{ss} by one. Hence the contribution of g and w to Σ increases by one after the RIII move.

Similarly, we have four cases (i) through (iv) for the vertex x, which is an endpoint of the edge h. We consider change of Σ under the RIII move. It decreases V by one since s goes over the vertex v. Hence, if Σ does not decrease by the RIII move, then precisely one of w and x must be in the pattern (iv), i.e., in $\operatorname{int} s$. (Note that we have already considered the case where both w and x is in the pattern (iv) in Figure 18 (III).) In this case, $E_{sv} = 3$ before the RIII move, and this leads to decrease of E_s by one. Thus, in any case, Σ eventually decreases by one or more.



FIGURE 20. Move (4) which shoud be first performed

We consider Move (4) in Figure 16 (4)-1 and (4)-2, where the edge e has an endpoint in s $(= (\operatorname{int} s) \cup \partial s)$, the other endpoint v of e is in $\operatorname{int} Q$, an edge f is incident to v, the two edges e and f are in the boundary of the same face, and f has another endpoint w in $\operatorname{int} u$ ((4)-1) or $\operatorname{int} Q$ ((4)-2) before Move (4). We must perform Move (4) at an adequate place as below.

First of all, if there is a pattern in Figure 20, then we immediately perform Move (4) there along an arc parallel to g. In Figure 20, the vertex w is in $\operatorname{int} Q$, another edge g is incident to w, the edge g reaches $\operatorname{int} s$, the arc $e \cup f \cup g$ cuts off a disk, say R, from Q, the disk R contains all the edges incident to w and contains none of the edges incident to v other than e and f, and the edges incident to v or w do not reach $\operatorname{int} s$ except e and g. The endpoint of e other than v may be at ∂s. In this case, we perform Move (4) not along an arc parallel to e but along an arc parallel to g and outside of R. This move decreases E_i by one, and hence Σ by one. (Note that E_{svs} may increase if we perform Move (4) along an arc parallel to e and inside of R.)

We consider the case where D_Q does not contain the pattern in Figure 20. We observe the subgraph G_D of D_Q as shown in Figure 21 (1). Precisely, let V_1 be the set of vertices v of D_Q in $\operatorname{int} Q$ such that there are two or more edges connecting v and s $(= (\operatorname{int} s) \cup \partial s)$. Let E_1 be the set of edges of D_Q which are incident to a vertex of V_1 and reach s, E_2 the



FIGURE 21. The graph G_D

set of edges of D_Q which have both endpoints in s, and V_2 the set of vertices of D_Q with $V_2 = (\cup(E_1 \cup E_2)) \cap s$. Then we define G_D to be the subgraph of D_Q with $V_1 \cup V_2$ being the set of vertices of G_D and $E_1 \cup E_2$ the set of edges of G_D. Note that G_D does not consist of a single edge, say e_∂, connecting the two points ∂s when $\operatorname{int} s$ contains no vertex of D_Q. See Figure 21 (2). If it did, then the link diagram D would have a component $e_\partial \cup s$ with no crossing, and hence, D would be either a disconnected link diagram or a knot diagram with no crossing, which contradicts our assumption.



FIGURE 22. We cannot perform Move (4).

When $G_D = \emptyset$, we perform Move (4) anywhere if it is applicable. Let v, e, f be as in Figure 16 (4)-1 or 2. We first consider Move (4)-2 where the edge f has an endpoint in a vertex, say w, in $\operatorname{int} Q$. Note that $E_{sv} \leq 1$ and $E_{sw} \leq 1$ because of the condition $G_D = \emptyset$ before the move. Hence $E_{sv} \leq 2$ and $E_{sw} \leq 2$ after the move. Thus the move decreases E_i by one and does not change E_s, and hence decreases Σ by one. (It may increase E_{svs} by one if there is a single edge, say g, connecting w and $\operatorname{int} s$ and all the edges incident to w is contained in the subdisk of Q bounded by the arc $e \cup f \cup g$ and a subarc of s. However, we have already considered such a pattern in Figure 20.) Next, we consider Move (4)-1 where the edge f has an endpoint in $\operatorname{int} u$. The move decreases E_i by one, and hence Σ by one, again. Note that f contributes to E_i before the move.

When $G_D \neq \emptyset$, we will prove that Move (4) can be applicable if G_D does not contain edges e and f as in one of the patterns in Figure 22, where e has an endpoint at ∂s, f has an endpoint in s, and the arc $e \cup f$ cuts off a disk R from Q with $G_D \cap R = e \cup f$. In addition, $E_{sv} \leq 1$ in Case (B). We consider the outermost component of G_D as below. Among the disks obtained from Q by cutting along G_D, one which contains a single subarc of $\operatorname{int} s$ or the whole of s is called an *outermost disk*. Let R be an outermost disk. If $R \cap D_Q$ consists of exactly two edges one of which connects a vertex, say v, in $\operatorname{int} Q$ and a point of ∂s and the other does v and a point in s as in Figure 22, then we cannot perform Move (4), and go forth to Move (5) in Figure 17. We take R so that it does not contain any point of ∂s if there is such an outermost disk. We call this Condition (*).



FIGURE 23. the case where $\operatorname{int} t$ intersects D_Q



FIGURE 24. $y_1 = y_2 = v$

First, we consider the case where the arc $t = R \cap s$ contains a vertex of D_Q other than ∂t. Let w be an arbitrary one of it. There is an edge, say g, of D_Q incident to w. Let x be the other endpoint of g, and h_1, h_2 the edges of D_Q incident to x and in the boundary of the same face of $D_Q (\subset Q)$ with g. See Figure 23. Since we have taken the disk R to be outermost, the endpoint of h_i other than x, say y_i is in $\operatorname{int} Q$, and $E_{sy_i} \leq 1$ for $i = 1$ or 2. (If this were not the case, then for $i = 1$ and 2, $E_{sy_i} \geq 2$, and the outermost disk R would be a triangle cut from Q by two edges, say e and f, sharing the same vertex, say v, in $\operatorname{int} Q$, and $y_i = v$. See Figure 24. Then a circle obtained by slightly shrinking the circle $h_1 \cup h_2$ would intersect the link digaram D in a single point in the edge incident to x other

than g, h_1, h_2, a contradiction.) Hence we can assume that $E_{sy_1} \leq 1$. We perform Move (4) along an arc parallel to the edge g and connecting $\operatorname{int} s$ and the edge h_1. See Figure 23. Then we can confirm that the move decreases Σ by one in a similar way as in the case of $G_D = \emptyset$.



FIGURE 25. the case where $\operatorname{int} t$ does not intersect D_Q

Thus we can assume that $\operatorname{int} t = R \cap s$ does not contain a vertex of D_Q. Then, $R \cap G_D$ cannot be an arc with its both endpoints in s, since we are under the assumption that D is connected and that Moves (1)-(3) cannot be applied and that Move (4) can be applied. Hence the outermost disk is cut from Q by the union of two edges, say e and f, sharing a vertex, say v, in $\operatorname{int} Q$. Then all the edges incident to v other than e and f are contained in the outermost disk R. (If precisely one of them were in R, then a circle obtained by shrinking the circle $e \cup f \cup t$ would intersect D in a single point. If both of them were in $\operatorname{cl}(Q - R)$, the Move (3) would be applicable when $\partial t \in \operatorname{int} s$, there would be a pattern as in Figure 22 (B) when precisely one of the point of ∂t is in ∂s (we can see $E_{sv} = 1$ because of Condition (*)), and G_D would be of type in Figure 22 (A) when $\partial t = \partial s$.) We perform Move (4) along an arc parallel to e and contained in R. See Figure 25. This move does not increase E_{svs} (we have already considered Figure 20) and decreases E_i by one since $\operatorname{int} t$ is free from a vertex of D_Q. This move may increase E_{sv}. If it did by two, then we would have the pattern in Figure 15 (a) before the move, which we have considered. If the move increases E_{sv} by one, then $\partial t \subset \operatorname{int} s$, and the move decreases E_{svs} by one, since $e \cup f$ forms a subgraph of the pattern in Figure 14 before the move. (Otherwise, $\partial t \cap \partial s$ would consist of a single point, and we would have the pattern in Figure 15 (b) before the move.) In any way, Σ decreases by one.

We consider Move (5) in Figure 17. We are under the assumption that the moves in Figures 15 and 16 cannot be applicable. Note that either $G_D = \emptyset$ or G_D is one of the patterns in Figure 22. Otherwise, we could perform Move (1), (2), (3) or (4). If there is an edge, say e, of D_Q with one of its endpoints in ∂s, then we can apply Move (5). The other endpoint, say v, of e is not contained in s, and hence this move decreases E_∂ by one and does not increase E_{ss}. Because of the above condition on G_D, we have either $E_{sv} = 0$ or the pattern in Figure 22 (B) before the move, which implies that the move increases none of E_s and E_{svs}. Thus Move (5) decreases Σ by one.

Finally, we consider Move (6) in Figure 17 (6)-1, (6)-2 and (6)-3. We are under the assumption that Moves (1) through (5) cannot be applicable and $\Sigma > 0$. We will show that Move (6) is applicable, and the move decreases Σ. There are no edge with its endpoint in ∂s because Move (5) is not applicable. We show that every edge, say e, having an endpoint in $\operatorname{int} s$ has the other endpoint, say v, in $\operatorname{int} u$. By the condition on G_D, the vertex v is not in s. If v is contained in $\operatorname{int} Q$, then there is an edge, say f incident to v such that e and f are in the boundary of the same face of D_Q in Q. By the condition on G_D, the edge f does not reach s. Hence we can apply Move (4) along an arc parallel to e and connecting s and f, which is a contradiction. Therefore, the endpoint v is in $\operatorname{int} u$. This means that every edge of D_Q which reaches $\operatorname{int} s$ also does $\operatorname{int} u$.

Since we are assuming that $\Sigma > 0$ and that D is connected, there is an edge which is incident to a vertex in $\operatorname{int} u$ and does not reach s. Hence we can perform Move (6) as below. Among such edges, let e be the one having an endpoint, say w, in $\operatorname{int} u$ such that w is the nearest to a point, say p, of ∂s. Let γ be the subarc of u between p and w. We perform Move (6) along an arc parallel to γ when $\operatorname{int} \gamma$ does not contain a vertex of D_Q. See Figure 17 (6)-3. When it does, let v be the vertex of D_Q lying in the subarc of u between p and w, and the nearest to w. The edge, say f, incident to v reaches $\operatorname{int} s$. Let δ be the subarc of γ between v and w. We perform Move (6) along an arc parallel to $f \cup \delta$. See Figure 17 (6)-1 and (6)-2. These moves decrease E_i, and hence Σ by one. This completes the proof. □

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Kanako Oshiro for helpful comments.

References

1. J.W. Alexander and G.B. Briggs, *On types of knotted curves.* Ann. of Math. **28** (1926/27), 562–586.
2. J.S. Birmann and W.W. Menasco, *Special positions for essential tori in link complements*, Topology **33** (1994) 525–556.
3. P.R. Cromwell, *Embedding knots and links in an open book I: Basic properties*, Topology and its Applications **64** (1995), 37–58.
4. I.A. Dynnikov, *Arc-presentations of links: Monotone simplification*, Fundamenta Mathematicae **190** (2006), 29–76.
5. I.A. Dynnikov, *Recognition algorithms in knot theory*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **58** (2003), 45–92; translation in Russian Math. Surveys **58** (2003), 1093–1139.
6. C. Hayashi, *The number of Reidemeister moves for splitting a link*, Math. Ann. **332** (2005), 239–252.
7. A. Henrich and L. Kauffman, *Unknotting Unknots*, preprint (arXiv:1006.4176v4 [math.GT] 4Nov2011).
8. K. Reidemeister, *Elementare Beründang der Knotentheorie.* Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **5** (1926), 24–32.

Tatsuo Ando: Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Rikkyo University, 3-34-1 Nishi-ikebukuro, Toshima-ku, Tokyo, 171-8501, Japan.

12lc002t@rikkyo.ac.jp (T. Ando),

Chuichiro Hayashi and Yuki Nishikawa: Department of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, Faculty of Science, Japan Women's University, 2-8-1 Mejirodai, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 112-8681, Japan.
hayashic@fc.jwu.ac.jp (C. Hayashi) and m1136005ny@gr.jwu.ac.jp (Y. Nishikawa)